

**Before Sh. J. S. Khushdil Member the Real Estate Regulatory
Authority, Punjab, Mohali**

Complaint No. General. 45/2017
Date of Institution – 13/11/2017
Date of Decision – 24/01/2018

Sh.Parkash Sharma

Complainant

R/o HouseNo.979,Vikas Nagar, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh

Versus

Respondent

M/s N.H Macton & others.SCO No.3,Royale Estate, Chandigarh-Ambala Highway,
Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar (Punjab)

Present: Sh. Arun Singla, representative of the complainant.

ORDER


Parkash Sharma has filed this complaint against the respondent alleging certain violation & contravention on the part of the respondent. The case was at the initial stage i.e. for consideration of the point of admissibility of the complaint before the Member. The representative of the complainant Sh. Arun Singla has made the statement that the project "N.H. Macton & others" has not been registered. As there are legal flaws in the complaint, therefore, complainant may be permitted to withdraw this complaint and file a fresh complaint. He has further stated that legal action may also be taken against the promoter.


This Authority has held in case of Bikramjit Singh and others, Complaint No. 3 of 2017 decided on 13/12/2017 that the complaint would not be maintainable in relation to the projects which are not registered with this Authority. This decision was further followed in the subsequent cases also. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has further held in Judicial Pronouncement No. 2737 of 2017 dated 06/12/2017 in case of Neelkamal Realtors Suburban Pvt. Ltd and others that "the Authority concerned would be dealing with the cases coming before it in respect of projects registered under RERA". Thus, in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, decision of this Authority as well as the statement of learned representative of the complainant, this complaint is held not maintainable. The

complaint is accordingly rejected, however, the complainant is at liberty to file a fresh complaint in the proper forum if so advised.

The learned representative of the complainant has stated that legal action may also be initiated against the promoter. As per the report of the office as well as the statement of the learned representative states that the project "N.H. Macton & others" is not registered under the Section 3 of the Act. The promoter, however is required to get a real estate project register with the Real Estate Regulatory Authority unless such promoter seeks exemption under this Act vis a vis under Section 3. Thus, without getting the project registered no promoter shall advertise, market, book sell or offer for sale or invite persons to purchase in any manner any plot, apartment or building as the case may be. Therefore, it is required from the respondent promoter to get his project registered if the same is not registered. The promoter may be asked to get his project register or to explain as to why and how his project is exempted from the requirement of registration of the project under reference. Copy of the order be sent to the complainant. A copy of this order be also forwarded to the Administration Branch of this Authority to initiate further legal action against the promoter under law.

The main file be consigned to the record room.


(J.S. Khushdil)
Member RERA


24/1/2018