

Complaint No.58 of 2087

03.07.2018

Rajiv Chopra Vs Air Force Naval

Present : 1. Shri Himanshu Raj, Advocate for complainant
2. Shri Bhupinder Kumar, AM (Legal) for respondent

Since the last date of hearing, the respondent has submitted an online application on 14.06.2018 for registration of their project. The major relief claimed by the complainant therefore has been delivered.

Shri Himanshu Raj further contended that since the respondent had not applied for registration within time, they should be penalised for the lapse under Section 59. However this Authority already imposes a late fee on applications for registration that are not received within the stipulated time. No doubt, such fees will be levied in this case also, if applicable. There is no scope for further penalties. There is therefore no merit in this contention.

This complaint is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

It may be noted that this Authority had suo-motu, and before the filing of the present complaint, already issued a notice to the respondent asking it to register the project.



Chairperson
Real Estate Regulatory Authority
Punjab