|  | REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB <br> SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh NEXT DATE FIXED IN FOLLOWING APPEALS TAKEN UP ON 31.10.2022 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Appeal number | Parties Names | Next date |
| NOTICE IN EXECUTION |  |  |  |
| 1. | Execution No. 06 of 2022 <br> In Appeal No. 09 of 2022 | Dinesh Kumar Arora \& Another Versus Parsvnath Developers Ltd. \& Another |  |
|  | Execution No. 07 of 2022 <br> In Appeal No. 25 of 2022 | Dhruva Brahmachary \& Another Versus Parsvanath Developers Ltd.\& Another |  |
|  | Execution No. 08 of 2022 <br> In Appeal No. 22 of 2022 | Anuradha Dua Versus Parsvnath Developers Ltd. \& Another |  |
| 2 | Execution No. 09 of 2022 <br> In Appeal No. 257 of 2020 | Akhilesh Khanna Versus The Chief Administrator, GMADA | 02.11.2022 |
|  | Execution No. 10 of 2022 <br> In Appeal No. 258 of 2020 | Akhilesh Khanna \& Another Versus The Chief Administrator, GMADA |  |
| PRELIMINERY |  |  |  |
| 3 | Appeal No. 189 of 2022 | Rajinder Kumar Sareen Versus M/S Ireo Waterfront Pvt Ltd. | 02.11.2022 |
| 4 | Application No. 282 of 2022 (for delay of 75 days) And Appeal No. 190 of 2022 | Estate Officer, PUDA Bathinda Versus Asha Rani \& Another |  |
|  | Application No. 283 of 2022 (for delay of 89 days) And Appeal No. 191 of 2022 | Estate officer, PUDA Bathinda Versus Yash Pal Gupta \& Another | 02.11.2022 |
|  | ```Application No. }284\mathrm{ of }202 (for delay of 89 days) And Appeal No. }192\mathrm{ of }202``` | Estate Officer, BDA /PUDA Bathinda Versus Dr. Pankaj Garg \& Another |  |
| 5. | Application No. 285 of 2022 <br> (for delay of 96 days) <br> And <br> Appeal No. 193 of 2022 | Naresh Kumar \& Another Versus Punjab Urban Planning \& Development Authority \& Another | 02.11.2022 |
|  | Application No. 286 of 2022 <br> (for delay of 96 days) <br> And <br> Appeal No. 194 of 2022 | Ranjiv Singh \& Another Versus Punjab Urban Planning \& Development Authority \& Another |  |
|  | Application No. 287 of 2022 <br> (for delay of 96 days) <br> And <br> Appeal No. 195 of 2022 | Jasdeep Singh \& Others Versus Patiala Urban Planning \& Development Authority, patiala \& Another |  |
|  | ```Application No.288 of 2022 (for delay of 96 days) And Appeal No.196 of 2022``` | Husandeep Bansal Versus Punjab Urban Planning \& Development Authority |  |
| 6 | ```Application No. 289 of 2022 (for delay of 31 days) And Appeal No. 197 of 2022``` | Bathinda Development Authority Versus Mithu Ram Arora | 02.11.2022 |
| 7 | Appeal No. 198 of 2022 | Tarun Sharma Versus Punjab Real Estate Regulatory Authority \& Others | 02.11.2022 |
| 8 | Caveat No.04 of 2022 (GC No.0317 OF 2021) Application No.277 of 2022 (delay of 15 days) Application No.278 of 2022 (for exemption) And Appeal No. 187 of 2022 | Swaraj Bhushan Lalit \& Anr. Versus Sushma Buildtech Ltd | 02.11.2022 |
| ARGUMENT IN APPLICATION |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Application No. } 157 \text { of } 2022 \\ & \text { (delay of } 789 \text { days) } \\ & \text { And } \\ & \text { Appeal No. } 97 \text { of } 2022 \end{aligned}$ | Prem Kumar versus RERA Punjab | 02.11.2022 |
| ARGUMENTS |  |  |  |
| 10 | Appeal No. 26 of 2022 | Ashish Chitra Versus Parkwood Developers Pvt. Ltd. | 02.11.2022 |
| 11 | Appeal No. 123 of 2021 | Rama Mehta Versus Fortune Multitech Pvt. Ltd. | 02.11.2022 |
|  | Appeal No. 124 of 2021 | Aman Sharma \& ors Versus Fortune Multitech Pvt. Ltd. |  |

