22.05.2018

Chetan Dhawan Vs Omaxe Chandigarh (P) Ltd.

Present:  
1. Shri Sushil Kumar, Advocate for complainant  
2. Shri M.K. Singh, Advocate for respondent

Shri Sushil Kumar has submitted an application (placed on file) that since the part of the project in which their particular unit is located is not registered with RERA, he may be allowed to withdraw their complaint with a liberty to approach the Punjab State Consumer Redressal Commission in this regard. On the other hand Shri M.K. Singh contended that while the complaint may be withdrawn, liberty cannot be granted to the complainant to move the Punjab State Consumer Redressal Commission.

In view of the above the complaint is allowed to be withdrawn, and the complainant will have the liberty to pursue his remedy in the appropriate forum as per law.
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