REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2022
APPEAL NO. 30 OF 2022
LEEPIKA CHOUDHARY
VERSUS

BABA BANDA SINGH BAHADUR ENTERPRISES

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2022/94

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1ST FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeals titled and numbered as above was filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeals is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this out day of March, 2022.

Tonamend former

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB



BEFORE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PUNJAB

Appeal No 304 2022
IN Comp. No. GC No. 1407 of 2019

MEMO OF PARTIES

Leepika Chaudhary R/o House No 67, Inside JTPL

...APPELLANT

Khunni Majra Road Sector 115, Mohali, Punjab

VERSUS

Baba Banda Singh Bahadur Enterprises

Address Village Chhapar Chiri, Hadbast No. 195

Sector 92, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab

Pin code 140308

...RESPONDENT

Chandigarh

Dated: 11.02.2022

(PARITOSH VAID) (ASHUTOSH VAID)

Advocates

Counsels for the Appellant/ Complainant

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2022 APPEAL NO. 30 OF 2022 LEEPIKA CHOUDHARY VERSUS

BABA BANDA SINGH BAHADUR ENTERPRISES

Present: - Mr. Paritosh Vaid, Advocate for the appellant.

This appeal is directed against the impugned order dated 28.05.2021, with a prayer that the order be modified in view of the fact that the appellant has paid Rs.10,25,000/- to the respondent and consequently the relief clause in the impugned order has to be modified to take into account the entire consideration paid.

During the course of arguments, we put it to the learned counsel for the appellant that whether there is any explanation in view of the varying amounts mentioned as having been paid to the respondent i.e. Rs.7,00,000/-; Rs.8,25,000/- appearing in the buyers agreement and Rs.10,25,000/- as claimed by the appellant. He stated that Rs.7,00,000/- is admitted by the respondent but he has fraudulently concealed the factum of receipt of the remaining amounts.

We also put it to the learned counsel for the appellant as to how, he could explain, his own signatures on the buyers agreement mentioning Rs.8,25,000/- as the amount paid. He has no explanation to offer, even though, he admitted his signatures.

A repetitive argument was raised about the payment of Rs.10,25,000/- to the respondent without any supporting material to show the mode manner and proof of payment.

APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2022 APPEAL NO. 30 OF 2022

2

The Authority in its impugned order observed in Clause iv about this discrepancy and to our minds it rightly discarded such a plea, as the one raised before us now.

We have no mechanism, to either hold a document to be in forgery or to authoritatively comment upon a fact not supported by any material.

We, therefore, decline interference and dismiss the appeal.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.) CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ER. ASHOK KUMAR GARG, C.E. (RETD.)
MEMBER(ADMINISTRATIVE/TECHNICAL)

February 21, 2022 AN



Certified To Be True Copy
Registrar
Real Estate Appellate Tabluse Purple
Chandigarh

04/03/2022