REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 04 OF 2023

IN APPEAL NO. 51 OF 2022

VINAY SURI VERSUS

GREATER MOHALI AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (GMADA), PUDA

Present: - Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate for the Applicant

There is request for an adjournment on behalf of the respondent, pleading personal difficulty to appear before this Court today.

The adjournment is seriously opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant who states that he was not informed and neither was his client. This is indeed an objection that deserves to be sustained to deny an adjournment. Any party seeking adjournment cannot do so at the inconvenience of the other side and information necessarily has to be given to all concerned so as to avoid any conflict regarding the prayer made. Be that as it may for the present we would grant an adjournment particularly when we have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and feel that more information would be required from the respondent, in view of what has been brought to the notice by the learned counsel for applicant.



He has stated that upon inspection of record it has been discovered that information has been supplied to this Court regarding 300 Sq. Yards plot and 500 Sq. Yards plot whereas controversy revolves around 2,000 Sq. yards plot. He has stated that there is only one document with regard to the plot of this dimension pertaning to one Usha Rani. Apart from that there has been affidavit that has been procured subsequent to the deadline i.e. 10.03.2021. We would direct the applicant to file all these details and also any other detail in support of what has been stated before us today within a period of 1 week with an advance copy to respondents.



To come up for further proceedings on 11.01.2024.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.)

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

Certified To Be True Copy

Real Estate Appellate Tribunal Punjab

December 18, 2023 SR