REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

Appeal No. 56 of 2023

Gurmeet Singh aged 63 years son of Sh. Balbir Singh resident of VPO Fatehpur Rajputan, Tehsil and District Amritsar, Punjab. 143113.

...Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, Ist Floor, Block B, Plot No.3, Madhya Marg, Sector-18, Chandigarh. 160008. Through its Assistant Manager (Admin).

....Respondent

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2023/ 470

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1ST FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeal titled and numbered as above was filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeal is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 22th

day of Decemeber 2023.

REGISTRAR

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PUNJAB, AT CHANDIGARH

Appeal No. 56 of 2023

MEMO OF PARTIES

Gurmeet Singh aged about 63 years son of Sh. Balbir Singh resident of VPO Fatehpur Rajputan, Tehsil and District Amritsar, Punjab.143113. ...Appellant

VERSUS

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab, Ist Floor, Block B, Plot No.3, Madhya Marg, Sector-18, Chandigarh. 160008. Through its Assistant Manager (Admin).

...Respondent(s)

LACE: CHANDIGARH CHANDIG DATD: 26.09.2023

(PARDEEP BAJAJ) P-1421/2007

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE APPELLANT

3

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

APPLICATION NO.153 OF 2023 APPLICATION NO.154 OF 2023 AND APPEAL NO. 56 OF 2023

GURMEET SINGH

VERSUS

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB

Present: - None

Even on the previous two dates i.e. 16.10.2023 and 06.11.2023 no one had put in appearance. Along with the appeal an application under Section 43(5) of the Act had been filed seeking exemption from complying with the said provision which we had declined and granted 2 weeks time to the appellant to do the needful. Neither the compliance has been made nor has appearance been made by the appellant.

In view of the above, we dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

THE STANDING THE S

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.)

CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.)

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

December 18, 2023

SR

Certified To Be True Cony

Registrar
Real Estate Appellate Tribunal Punjab
Chauttag